



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
10/004,942	12/5/01	Robert J Hariri	9516-100-999

EXAMINER	
J. Janice LI	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1632	3/9/04

DATE MAILED:

EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) ~~Ex~~ J. Janice LI, PTO (3) Lawrence S. Graham, Appl. Rep.
(2) ~~Ex~~ Anne M. Webb, PTO (4) Robert J. Hariri, Applicant
Date of interview 3/9/04 (5) Maria E. Dasgual, Counsel

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☒ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☐ No. If yes, brief description:

Agreement ☐ was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. ☐ was not reached. N/A

Claims discussed: Proposed claim amendment

Identification of prior art discussed: 103 (a) art of record

As defined in claim language "placenta having been drained of cord blood, flushed & efficient fluid to remove residual placenta blood cells"
Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Dr. Hariri explained the novelty of the invention, then discussion focused on how to write the claims to distinguish the present invention from the cited prior art. The cells collected after the perfusion appear to be free of cited art of record. The Examiner's agreed that the draft Clm 1 as proposed by the applicant at the discussion would distinguish the invention from cited art.
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

☒ 1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph below has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

- ☐ 2. Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the substance of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner's Signature